



Large Instance Processing

Eric JARRY – Banque de France eric.jarry@banque-france.fr

Eurofiling / XBRL Europe Roma 2014-05-05



Agenda

- Why large instances
- Challenges and ideas
- Forum / Discussion



Large instances, why?

- Recently, it has been found that some reportings lead to potentially large instances
 - Bank of Indonesia banking supervision
 - European Banking Authority (EBA) banking supervision
 - Europea, Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA) – Insurance supervision
- This volumetry is caused by the requirement to report lists of objects' (loans, financial products, accounts...) that may contain tens of thousand of items
- Example: in Solvency II EIOPA taxonomy, the template S.06.02 (Assets D1) list the contents of assets' portfolios



Loading the taxonomies

- Large instances may correspond to big taxonomies
 - DPM (Data Point Modeling) in taxonomies (so called highly dimensional taxonomies) lead to big taxonomies and big instances
 - Some architectures lead to complex taxonomies
 - The need for multilinguism increase the size of the taxonomies
- Precompiling the taxonomies may be envisioned (i.e. : storing and loading the taxonomy's infoset)
- In the case of multi-entry points taxonomies, in memory components may be reuse



Generating the instances

- Typically an XBRL instance has the following structure (recommended by FRIS):
 - Root element (xbrli:xbrl), with start tag containing used namespaces' definitions
 - Contexts, using NS definitions
 - Units (few), using NS definitions
 - Facts, using NS defitions and referencing contexts and units
 ⇒Need to keep elements in memory
- The XII working group note proposes to change the order and to authorize duplicates to allow streaming (for both generation and parsing) with a potential effect of increasing the size



Signing the instances

- Some components used to sign the instances may have size limitations
- Signing a compressed file may be envisioned, but a canonical compression algorithm must de defined



Transmitting the instances

- Software components and infrastructure may have size limitations
- Compression should be considered
 - The compression ratio is typically very high



Parsing the instances

- This aspect is covered by the Working Group Note
- To avoid multiple parsing of the instances:
 - The XBRL validator could give access to its instance infoset to the caller application program
 - Plug-in / interceptors may be used to allow specific processing of some elements of the instances



Validating the instances

 XBRL 2.1 and Dimensions validation may be done fact by fact, providing that the associated context and unit are available



Checking business rules

- Now typically done by XBRL assertions
- Most important time in big instances processing
- Optimization of assertions may be used
 - Proposed by software vendors (problem if beneficial for only one or a subset of products)
 - Using filters (done by taxonomy developers)
 - Reusing components (variables, filters, expressions...)
- Other solutions
 - Getting rid of used facts
 - Slicing the instances into reporting units
- Consideration of alternatives to assertions (checks in data bases, spreadsheets...)



Reporting errors

- See slot on reporting errors
- Big instances may lead to many errors and big log files
- The processing may stop after a given number of errors
- Mechanism to browse the errors must be given to users



Rendering the instances

- Big instances are typically associated to big open or closed tables
 - Closed tables may be associated with countries, currencies, sometimes combined with another dimension (line of business, period...)
 - Some axes may have unused values (principle of materiality or proportionalities)
 - Some axes may need to be sorted
 - Same fact may correspond to several cells (identification)
- Sophisticated rendering tools must be implemented



Forum / Discussion

